UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/587,374	07/26/2006	Qiwei He	3057.NWN	7292		
Cynthia L Foull	7590 11/13/200 ke	EXAMINER				
National Starch	and Chemical Compar	MULCAHY, PETER D				
Box 6500 Bridgewater, N.	J 08807-0500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
<i>C</i> ,			1796			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			11/13/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/587,374		HE ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Peter D. Mulcah	/	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 Aug	nust 2009					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 August 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
<b>—</b>		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	• • ———							
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊡ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	rtion and/or e	election require	ment				
		Stiori arid/or e	siection require	ment.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а)∏ ассер	oted or b)□ ob	ected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	awing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/587,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

2. These claims have no compositional limitations. The claims are directed to a "low application temperature hot melt adhesive." The adhesive is claimed solely by properties. As such, the patentability is predicated upon the claimed property rather than any compositional and/or structural limitation. The courts have determined that when a claim is solely dependent upon a property then the claim is considered a single means claim. A single means claim covers every conceivable means for achieving a stated property. The claim is not enabled by the specification as the specification does not provide enabling disclosure for every conceivable means for achieving the stated properties.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 11-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over vanDrongelen et al. US 6,103,814.
- 6. Claims 8-10 and 17-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over vanDrongelen et al. US 6,103,814 in view of Tomita et al. US 2002/0061966.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 11-169 and 20 are anticipated and/or obvious over vanDrongelen for reasons of record.
- 8. Claims 8-10 and 17-19 are seen to further incorporate an ionomer resin. The vanDrongelen patent is silent as to the incorporation of this resin. The Tomita et al patent is cited as showing thermoplastic elastomers in hot melt adhesive compositions, [0015]. These adhesives are very similar to those disclosed in vanDrongelen. The claimed amount of the component is disclosed at [0016]. Tackifiers are disclosed at [0024]. The incorporation of the ionomer resin is rendered disclosed at [0023]. The difference between the claimed invention and the cited art is the ionomer is not exemplified. Here one would have to select the ionomer resin from those resins listed in [0023]. One would be motivated to select the ionomer resin from this list and incorporate it into the composition of vanDrongelen given the art recognized advantages.

Art Unit: 1796

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796